"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: [FULL CITE], which has been published in final form at [Link to final article using the DOI]. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving."

Deep cavitand self-assembled on Au NPs-MWCNT as highly sensitive benzene sensing interface

Pierrick Clément, Saša Korom, Claudia Struzzi, Enrique J. Parra, Carla Bittencourt, Pablo Ballester*, Eduard Llobet*

P. Clément, Dr. E. J. Parra, Pr. E. Llobet
MINOS-EMaS, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Avenida Paisos Catalans 26, 43007, Tarragona, Spain
E-mail: eduard.llobet@urv.cat
S. Korom, Prof. P. Ballester
Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007, Tarragona, Spain
E-mail: pballester@iciq.es
C. Struzzi, Dr. C. Bittencourt
ChIPS, Université de Mons, Place du Parc 23, 7000 Mons, Belgium

Keywords: quinoxaline-walled deep cavitand, multi-walled carbon nanotubes, oxygen plasma treatment, gold nanoparticle, benzene.

The unprecedented sensitivity and partial selectivity of quinoxaline-walled thioether-legged deep cavitand functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes toward traces of benzene vapors is presented. The cavitand is grafted onto gold nanoparticle (Au-NP) decorated oxygen plasma treated multiwall carbon nanotubes (O-MWCNT) by a self-assembled monolayer process affording a product referred to as cav-Au-MWCNT. The reported technique is suitable for the mass production of hybrid nanomaterials at low cost. The cav-Au-MWCNT resistive gas sensor operates at room temperature and shows an outstanding performance toward traces of benzene vapors. The detection of 2.5 ppb of benzene in dry air is demonstrated with a limit of detection (LOD) near 600 ppt. For the first time, it is shown that a CNT nanomaterial can effectively sense the extremely harmful benzene molecule with higher sensitivity than toluene or *o*-xylene at the trace levels. The cavitand is well suited for binding benzene, which, being in close proximity to the MWCNT, affects its density of states (DOS) shifting the Fermi level away from the valence band. The binding of benzene is transduced in a diminution of

MWCNT conductance. Furthermore, the inclusion of benzene is fully reversible at room temperature, implying that the sensor can operate at very low power consumption.

1. Introduction

Benzene is a non-polar six-membered ring aromatic hydrocarbon with molecular formula C₆H₆, with dimensions of ca. 6.0 x 3.5 Å and a volume of 120 Å³. It belongs to the BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene) group of compounds. The components of this group feature similar structures but quite different toxicological properties. Benzene is listed among the most harmful volatile organic compounds (VOC). It has a highly flammable and toxic vapors and is recognized as a human carcinogen by the US Environmental Protection Agency and by the European

Commission.^[1] Long term exposures to relatively low concentrations of benzene over months or years leads to severe hemotoxic effects such as aplastic anemia and pancytopenia and to acute non-lymphocytic leukemia.^[2] In the last ten years, the permissible exposure limit has been lowered from 10 ppm to 100 ppb.^[3] According to the Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of May 2008, the

limit value for the annual average exposure to benzene is 5 µg m⁻³ (1.6 ppb).^[4] Nowadays, several methods for detecting benzene traces are in use. Most of them involve pumping of the sample and subsequent analysis by employing colorimetric detector tubes or gas chromatography (GC-FID, GC-MS). These methods are bulky, expensive and do not allow implementation for a continuous monitoring of benzene traces. In the last few years, pre-concentration methods and GC equipment have been improved in terms of miniaturization and with a LOD reaching the ppb level for benzene.^[5] However, such systems are still limited by their long response time, high power consumption and high cost. Alternatively, the use of portable photoionization detectors (PID) has been reported as well, but PID devices are not selective to benzene and give a total reading for

VOCs. The only option to make PID more selective for benzene is to utilize a single-use, disposable and rather expensive filter at the inlet port of the device what would result in a dramatic cost increase of running benzene measurements. Benzene is present in the petrochemical industry, land reclamation, petroleum coke oven operators, petrol stations, motor vehicle repair stations, roadside works and many other industries. Their activity may result in active exposure to benzene and would clearly benefit from affordable, portable, highly sensitive and selective detectors able to run continuous measurements.

The fact that benzene lacks active chemical functional group(s) renders its trace detection a challenge by employing miniaturized sensors. For a few decades, many studies have been focused on the use of weak and reversible interactions for the development of receptors for the selective complexation of aromatic compounds via "host-guest" strategy. Cram et al. pioneered host-guest studies using deep cavitands derived from resorcin[4]arene scaffolds.^[6] The shallow aromatic cavity present in the resorcin[4]arene parent compound was further elaborated by installing bridging groups at the upper rim. Quinoxaline-bridged resorcin[4]arene cavitands are known to bind aromatic guests (e.g. benzene, toluene, fluorobenzene), not only in the liquid, but also in the gas phase.^[7] The attractive CH- π and π - π interactions established between the receptor and the included aromatic compound constitute the main driving forces responsible for the formation of inclusion complexes. Thoden et al. modified the alkyl substituents at the lower rim of the cavitands with thioether functions in order to anchor the receptors on a gold surface.^[8] The improvements achieved in the synthesis of the cavitands together with the possibility to deposit them as self-assembled monolayers on different solid substrates led to the emergence of new strategies in the design of sensors devices.^[9] Consequently, several approaches for the detection of aromatic compounds both in liquid and gas phases have been reported. The recognition event (formation of a

host-guest complex) was transduced in changes on optical properties (surface plasmon resonance,^[10] fluorescence spectroscopy^[11]) or in mass changes in the case of resonant devices (quartz crystal micro balance sensor,^[12] or a PZT piezoelectric device^[13]). For these devices, the reported LOD for BTEX ranges from 50 to hundreds of ppm.

Resorcin[4]arene cavitands have also been used as absorbent materials in preconcentrator devices coupled to a μ-GC column for analyte separation. Furthermore,

the detection of analytes was performed by a metal oxide gas sensor or mass spectrometer.^[14] In these examples the detection limit for BTEX was found to be in the ppb range.^[15] Recently, resorcin[4]arene cavitands were covalently attached to carbon nanotubes (CNTs) that acted as transducers^[16] for conductance measurement in the solid-liquid sensor interphase. CNTs have attracted considerable interest as nanomaterial for sensing in solid-gas interphase.^[17] They are particularly sensitive to local chemical environment of the gas phase.^[18] The functionalization of CNTs with metal-NPs has been exploited to enhance the sensitivity of the material for benzene sensing, reaching an LOD of about 50 ppb in dry air.^[19] The metal-NP-CNT system acts as the transduction unit of the adsorbed event in a resistive gas sensor. Indeed, the interaction of the material with molecules of benzene in the gas phase results in an electronic charge transfer process between the organic molecule and the metal-NP-CNT nanomaterial. This affects the position of the Fermi energy and, hence, the conductivity of the detection unit. The different used metals show different response towards a

variety of gas or vapor molecules, which can be used to improve the selectivity.^[20] However, the decoration of CNTs with metal nanoparticles for improving the device's selectivity has been implemented with limited success because these nanomaterials show similar sensitivity to variety of aromatic compounds and heating is needed to recover the sensor baseline.^[19a]

Here we describe a simple experimental procedure to prepare an unprecedented type of resistive gas sensing device that employs gold nanoparticle (Au-NP) decorated oxygen-

functionalized multiwall carbon nanotubes (O-MWCNTs). The Au-NPs are functionalized after deposition on the O-MWCNTs with quinoxaline-walled thioetherlegged cavitand 4 (Figure 1.) leading to highly sensitive molecular recognition of benzene vapors. Additionally, we explored the sensitivity of the sensor towards others air pollutants such as toluene, *o*-xylene, carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide and ethanol vapors in order to evaluate cross sensitivity. The gas sensing mechanism is discussed on the basis of the experimental findings and the mechanism of inclusion complex (benzene⊂4) formation in the light of reported, structurally related systems in solutions.

Figure 1.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Anchoring of the cavitand on MWCNTs

The gas sensitive, hybrid nanomaterial was prepared by employing a three-step approach. In the first step MWCNTs were treated with oxygen in plasma to create surface oxygenated defects quoted in literature as VO_2 (oxygenated vacancies) and V_2O_2

(oxygenated double-vacancies); these carbon nanotubes are referred to as O-MWCNT.^[21] In the second step, the O-MWCNTs were decorated with Au nanoparticles by means of RF-sputtering and finally, in the third step the Au-decorated and oxygen plasma treated MWCNTs (referred to as Au-MWCNT) were functionalized with a selfassembled monolayer of cavitand 4 to produce a desired hybrid material (referred to as cav-Au-MWCNT). These steps are illustrated in

Su-wive Civit). These steps are mustrat

Figure 2.

The sensing properties of the cav-Au-MWCNTs when operated at room temperature were studied by exposing them to different chemical environments (benzene, toluene, *o*xylene, carbon monoxide, ethanol and nitrogen dioxide) at different concentrations. For comparison, the results obtained in the gas-sensing measurements performed on Au-

MWCNT sensors are also reported.

2.2 Step by step characterization

The morphology and chemical composition of the active layers were characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM, **Figure 3**. and **Figure 4**.) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, **Figure 5**.). The homogeneous dispersion of Au nanoparticles (average diameter ~ 2 nm) on MWCNTs is illustrated in **Figure 3**. **Figure 4a**. and **Figure 4b**. show that SAM procedure, implemented for Au-MWCNTs functionalization with the cavitand **4**, promoted aggregation of the previously deposited Au-NPs (with cluster diameters ranging from 10 to 15 nm). The immersion of Au-MWCNTs in a chloroform solution of the cavitand **4** (0.5 mM) under mild heating (60°C), as required by the SAM technique,^[17] favored both the cavitand assembly on the Au-NPs and their aggregation on the MWCNTs. We performed XPS analyses for O-MWCNT, Au-MWCNT, cav-Au-MWCNT and cavitand **4** samples.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

In Figure 5a. we show the XPS spectra acquired for (1) O₂ plasma treated MWCNTs, (2) gold decorated O-MWCNTs and (3-4) cav-Au-MWCNTs samples. The XPS spectra for the cavitand 4 alone (5) is included to assist in the identification of important features in the XPS spectra of cav-Au-MWCNTs samples differing in the time used for the construction of the SAM: 13h (3) and 24h (4). All samples contained the oxygen peak corresponding to O_{1s} (Figure 5a.) due to the oxygen plasma treatment experienced by the MWCNTs. All Au-decorated samples showed the characteristic Au_{4d} and Au_{4f} doublets. The presence of the cavitand 4 on cav-Au-MWCNT samples, and therefore, the success of the functionalization protocol, was confirmed by the observation of the N_{1s} peak located at 399 eV. This peak is diagnostic of the presence of organic nitrogen and appears only in the spectra of the cavitand and cav-Au-MWCNT samples (Figure 5b). We obtained similar values of relative atomic concentrations ([N]=3% and 2% at. conc.)

for the samples treated in the SAM process for 13 and 24 h, curves (3) and (4) respectively, indicating that 13 h are sufficient to fully functionalize the Au-MWCNTs with cavitand 4.

Figure 5.

The resistive sensor consist of a hybrid nanomaterial, which comprises both recognition and transducer elements, embedded in a standard electronic device. With a cavity depth

in the order of 8.3 Å, a quinoxaline-bridged cavitand 4 can completely include one BTEX molecule and form a 1:1 host-guest complex mainly stabilized by π - π and CH- π interactions.^[23] The Au-MWCNTs are selected as an integral part of the resistive sensor.

They provide sites where the thioether groups of the cavitand 4 can be anchored. The

oxygen plasma treatment resulted in the presence of oxygenated defects on the outer wall of MWCNTs. Such defects help gripping, nucleating and stabilizing Au-NPs.

Conversely, pristine carbon nanotubes shows very weak interactions with the Au-NPs by establishing interactions with the p-orbitals of the sp² carbons of the network.^[24] Theoretical studies have shown that Au atoms get trapped at VO₂ defects (oxygenated vacancies), which are the most abundant in oxygen plasma treated MWCNTs compared to V₂O₂ defects (oxygenated di-vacancies), with a binding energy that is 0.55 eV higher

than the binding energy of Au decorated pristine MWCNT.^[25] DFT calculations indicated that the presence of oxygen atoms at the functionalized site reduces the HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbitals)–LUMO (Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbitals) gap to 0.82 eV. This reduction can be explained by the higher density of states near the Fermi level, arising from the overlap of the 2p electrons of the O atoms and the

p electron system of the nanotube.^[26] MWCNTs can either be metallic or semiconducting depending on the axial chirality of the individual shells and depending on the intershell interaction. A detailed description of their conductance is rather complex, but the main contribution to charge conduction near the Fermi energy level is given by the outer tube. Mats of MWCNTs, such as those employed in the present experiment, consist of a mixture of metallic and semiconducting tubes. Macroscopically, these mats behave as mild *p*-type semiconductors since their conductance increases or decreases upon adsorption of electron-accepting or donating molecules, respectively.^{[19b,}

^{25]} Furthermore, according to DFT calculations, the decoration with Au-NPs slightly perturbs the band structure of MWCNTs causing a small shift of the Fermi level energy toward lower energies, which is equivalent to a *p*-doping of the tubes (i.e. there is a small electronic charge transfer from the tube to the Au-NP).^[27] Finally, since the MWCNT mat consists of defective nanotubes,^[28] its resistance is mostly influenced by the resistance of individual nanotubes and not by the inter-nanotube or the electrode-

nanotube junctions.^[29] Upon formation of the host-guest complex, BTEX⊂cavitand 4 (molecular recognition event), and by assuming the existence of a close proximity between the walls of the cavitand 4 and the surface of the MWCNT, we are prone to speculate that the overall resistance of the MWCNT mat will be influenced (transducer function).

2.3 Gas sensing properties

Four cav-Au-MWCNT sensors and two Au-MWCNT sensors were employed for the study of the gas sensing capabilities. Au-MWCNT sensors were fabricated employing the same conditions to those used for cav-Au-MWCNT sensors, but the last step (i.e. the functionalization with cavitand 4 via SAM) was performed just with pure chloroform to

induce the aggregation of the Au-NPs on the same level. Each measurement was repeated at least three times. The typical response and recovery cycles of a cav-Au-MWCNT sensor towards increasing concentrations of benzene in dry air are shown in Figure 6a. The signal to noise ratio for the sensor response was high. The response and recovery cycles were recorded while the sensor was operated at room temperature and the sensing process was demonstrated to be reversible. During the recovery phase, pure dry air was flown resulting in full baseline resistance recovery. The measurement period lasted for about six months in which not significant changes in the baseline resistance nor in sensitivity were observed. In short, the sensor response is reversible and not affected by low-term drift. The typical calibration curves for the cav-Au-MWCNT sensor exposed to benzene, toluene, *o*-xylene, ethanol and carbon monoxide are depicted in Figure 6a. This sensor showed significantly higher sensitivity to benzene than to the other tested pollutants. At 100 ppb level of benzene, the sensor is seven and thirty times more responsive than to toluene or *o*-xylene, respectively. Furthermore, the slope of the calibration curve (*i.e.* sensitivity) was calculated for the two lowest tested concentrations

and was found to be much higher in the case of benzene (4.2×10^{-3} % ppb⁻¹) than for toluene (1.1×10^{-4} % ppb⁻¹) and o-xylene (3.3×10^{-5} % ppb⁻¹). Therefore, the sensor should be able to detect with high sensitivity traces of benzene in the presence of toluene and/or *o*-xylene. The responses to ethanol and carbon monoxide were significantly lower. In summary, the sensor is partially selective toward benzene and a possible strategy for further enhancing selectivity (beyond the scope of this paper) would be to use an array of sensors with different sensitive layers together with a pattern recognition engine.^[30] Taken together, these results demonstrated an unprecedented high sensitivity of the cav-Au-MWCNT sensor for benzene. The different species whose sensing is reported in Figure 6b. are electron donors. The overall resistance of the cav-Au-MWCNT mat increased when exposed to any of these species. Macroscopically, this implies that our hybrid nanomaterial retains the *p*-type semiconductor behavior observed for bare or Au-MWCNT mats.

Figure 6.

As often encountered in gas sensors, sensitivity and dynamics of response can be further enhanced by increasing the gas flow rate (Figure 7a.). When the flow is increased, layer gradient that defines a profile of laminar flow attenuated in the boundary layer at the sensor surface. This allowed a better diffusion of benzene towards the sensing layer, and results in an increase of the concentration of the inclusion complexes BTEX⊂cavitand 4 on the surface of MWCNTs mats and therefore a higher sensor response was

observed.^[31] Up to 2.5 ppb of benzene in air can easily be detected at 400 mL min⁻¹

(Figure 7b.). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first system that can detect such a

low level of benzene in the gas phase by employing a CNT-based material.

Figure 7.

Even at very low benzene levels, the response of the sensor was highly reproducible (Figure 8.). Thanks to the low levels of noise in the response signals, the theoretical LOD for the sensors was calculated to be 600 ppt, which corresponds to a sensor response three times higher than the level of the noise.^[32] The responses to benzene provided by the Au-MWCNT sensor were tested for comparison. The Au-MWCNT sensor was not responsive to benzene vapor levels under 60 ppb in dry conditions. Even at this concentration (60 ppb), the response was low. This observation is in good agreement with theoretical findings that predict a very weak binding energy and the lack of charge transfer between the benzene molecule and the Au-MWCNTs system. These results supported the idea that cavitand 4, in junction with Au-NPs and MWCNTs, were necessary for the high sensitivity measured for benzene.

Figure 8.

2.4 Gas sensing mechanism

In Figure 9., we portray simplified scheme of the plausible mechanisms for benzene sensing and recovery. We propose that the interior of cavitand 4, anchored to the surface of cav-Au-MWCNT, is initially occupied by an air molecule (*e.g.* nitrogen). This status of the system is referred to as N₂⊂cav-Au-MWCNT. The inclusion of guest in the cavity of 4 is a dynamic process allowing a constant chemical exchange, between free and included air molecules. When the N₂⊂cav-Au-MWCNT system is exposed to air contaminated with benzene vapors, some of the cavitands bind a benzene molecule.

Cavitand 4 shows higher affinity for benzene than nitrogen owing to the establishment of additional interactions (CH-π and π-π) between the benzene and the walls of the cavitand. In solution, the exchange process is referred to as "hostage-exchange mechanism" and occurs via significant conformation changes involving moving from the vase to the kite conformation of the cavitand, a process with an energy barrier of 11.6 kcal mol⁻¹.^[33] The kite conformation of the N₂⊂cav-Au-MWCNT allows an easy access of a guest molecule (benzene) to the shallow cavity of the cavitand and substitution of the previously bound guest molecule (N₂).^[33b] Upon guest-exchange, the cavitand's walls fold back to vase conformation producing a new host-guest inclusion complex (referred to as benzene⊂cav-Au-MWCNT). In this way, two inclusion complexes are present on the surface of cav-Au-MWCNT, one with an included nitrogen molecule and the other with a benzene molecule (Figure 9., marked with *).

Figure 9.

To explain the transduction mechanism we hypothesized two plausible types of communication between the cavitand and the MWCNT (Figure 10.). The first type of communication would involve gold mediation between the π -electron cloud(s) of the quinoxaline wall(s) from the cavitand and the π -electron clouds of MWCNT (referred to as π -Au- π communication). The second type of communication would exclude gold mediation and would occur between cavitand molecules located on the edge of Au-NPs whose quinoxaline wall(s) are in direct contact with the MWCNT surface allowing π - π stacking interaction (referred to as π - π communication). In both cases, the π -electron density of the quinoxaline walls of the cavitand must be affected by the nature of the included guest molecule (benzene or air molecule). Upon inclusion of a benzene molecule, which also possesses delocalized π -electrons, additional π - π interactions can be

established between benzene and the cavitand wall. As a consequence, the π-electron density of the cavitand walls is modified. This electron density changes are translated onto the π-electron clouds of the MWCNT through a charge-transfer/electron-transfer mechanisms. This results in hole depletion and decrease in the conductance of MWCNT, experimentally measured as an increase in sensor resistance. Conversely, the stream of pure air modifies the equilibria between benzene⊂cav-Au-MWCNT and N₂⊂cav-Au-MWCNT. The formation of the latter inclusion complex recovers the initial state of the sensor and therefore the baseline resistance of the sensor.

Figure 10.

The lower response displayed by the sensor (reduced increase of resistance) towards the more electron-rich toluene and *o*-xylene molecules, which based on the sensing mechanism proposed above, must imply a reduction of the electron-donating capabilities of their inclusion complexes with the cavitand 4, is not clear to us. On the one hand, published binding experiments demonstrated that toluene and *o*-xylene, in fact, bind more strongly than benzene inside the cavitand 4, both in the liquid and gas phase.^[15a, 23]On the other hand, the dipole moments of benzene, toluene and o-xylene are 0, 0.36 and 0.64 D, respectively. We speculate that the lack of dipole moment exhibited by benzene could be somehow responsible of the different electron donating properties assigned to its host-guest complex (benzene⊂cav-Au-MWCNT). Clearly, the verification of this hypothesis must await the results of further experimental and theoretical studies

that are beyond the scope of the present work.

Nitrogen dioxide gas, belongs to the so-called NO_x and is a toxic gas released from combustion facilities and automobiles.^[34] Thus, it is present in atmosphere and its effect has to be studied on our sensors. The adsorption of NO_2 was reported to occur either over Au-NP

or over oxygenated defects and exhibits strong response.^{[35],[27]} The first-principle modeling of gas adsorption on Au-MWCNT revealed that NO₂, a polar molecule with partial positive charge localized on the nitrogen atom and partial negative charge divided among oxygen atoms, is attracted to Au-NPs by its nitrogen atom. The computed binding energies and bond lengths showed that NO₂ strongly interacts with Au-NPs and that the molecule accepted a significant amount of electronic charge from the Au-MWCNT system. This hypothesis is consistent with the well-known electron accepting nature of this molecule.^[27] Quantum electron transport calculations revealed that the adsorption of a single NO₂ molecule on the surface of the Au-NP resulted in a remarkable decrease of the Fermi energy level of the Au-MWCNT system.^[27] This is consistent with the decrease in resistance that we observed for Au-MWCNT mats in the presence of NO₂.

The response of both cav-Au-MWCNT and Au-MWCNT sensors to different concentrations of NO₂ was also studied. The calibration curve of NO₂ detection for a cav-Au-MWCNT and Au-MWCNT sensors operated at room temperature can be found in the Supporting Information. The response towards NO₂ displayed by cav-Au-MWCNT sensor is about two times lower than that exhibited by the Au-MWCNT sensor. However, NO₂ cross-sensitivity of cavitand-functionalized sensors remains noticeable. The cav-Au-

MWCNT may remain somewhat responsive to NO₂ because this molecule can be adsorbed directly onto Au-NPs. However, the important decrease in the response toward NO₂ observed for cav-Au-MWCNTs in comparison to that of Au-MWCNTs indicated that the presence of the cavitand significantly reduced the affinity of Au-NPs to adsorb NO₂ molecules. From a practical point of view, if the detection of benzene should be performed in an environment in which the presence of trace levels of nitrogen dioxide are likely, a detector comprised of two sensors, namely one cav-Au-MWCNT

sensor and one Au-MWCNT sensor could be used for benzene level correction. Further details on this strategy are given in the Supporting Information.

Ambient moisture plays an important role in the response of chemiresistors. ^[36] Therefore, a new set of measurements was perfomed in which trace concentrations of benzene, toluene or *o*-xylene in a flow of dry synthetic air were humidified to 10%, 25% and 60% of relative humidity (R.H.) Taking into account that the pressure was 1 atm and the temperature 22 °C, the relative humidity tested corresponds to levels that ranged between 2,630 and 15,980 ppm of water, *i.e.* 3 to 5 orders of magnitude higher than the concentrations of the aromatic VOCs present in the mixtures. The presence of moisture affected the sensitivity of the cavitand-Au-MWCNT sensor toward VOCs. Response and recovery cycles for benzene under humid conditions together with calibration curves can be found in the Supporting Information. According to these

- results, the sensitivity of the sensor toward benzene (i.e. slope of the calibration curve) is 4.20 % ppm⁻¹ under dry conditions and changed to 1.41 % ppm⁻¹ @ 10% R.H., 0.48 % ppm⁻¹ @ 25% R.H and 0.45 % ppm⁻¹ @ 60% R.H. Taken together, these results indicated that the presence of moisture affected significantly the limit of detection
- (LOD) of the sensor for benzene. While the benzene LOD remains below 20 ppb for R.H. up to 25%, it rises to about 50 ppb at 60% R.H. In case of toluene or *o*-xylene, the presence of moisture resulted in the cav-Au-MWCNT sensor not displaying any measurable response for both aromatic VOCs up to at concentrations of 5,000 ppb (i.e.,

the partial selectivity is not destroyed by the presence of humidity). Two possible strategies can be exploited to overcome the loss in benzene sensitivity caused by ambient moisture. The first option would involve dehumidification by employing inexpensive filters containing polymers such as polyacrylate, polypyrrole or sodium polyacrylate salts, which selectively absorb polar compounds (i.e. water) from the input gas flow leaving non-polar compounds such as benzene unaffected. This strategy has been

implemented in solid-phase micro-extraction for quantitative gas or liquid chromatography analysis of environmental samples^[37] or in hand-held photo-ionization gas.^[38] The second option would involve sensor redesign taking into consideration that the oxygen plasma treatment of MWCNTs used to generate defects at their surface for anchoring Au nanoparticles makes CNTs more hydrophilic. Even if the employed cavitand has a hydrophobic character, oxygenated defects present on the surface of carbon nanotubes can be responsible for sensor moisture cross-sensitivity. Alternative methods for achieving Au decoration of CNT sidewalls have been reported, which accounts on the hydrophobic nature of pristine, non-defective CNTs^[39] what could be taken into advantage for reducing effect of ambient moisture to the sensor's response.^[40]

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, a simple technique for functionalize the multiwall carbon nanotubes, in view of designing a gas sensor with a superior performance, has been introduced. A quinoxaline-walled thioether-legged cavitand 4 is attached onto oxygen plasma treated

Au-NP decorated MWCNTs. The technique is suitable for the mass production of described hybrid sensing nanomaterial at low production costs, allowing cost-effective commercialization. The cavitand-functionalized MWCNT sensor shows unprecedented high sensitivity toward low levels of benzene in dry air at trace levels. The detection of 2.5 ppb is demonstrated experimentally and a theoretical LOD of 600 ppt was calculated.

Furthermore, sensor response towards toluene and *o*-xylene is significantly lower, clearly showing the strong response for benzene, what is the first example of such selective carbon nanotube based material. This was possible thanks to the molecular recognition introduced by quinoxaline-bridged cavitand 4. The sensor shows significant cross-sensitivity to NO₂, but this can be compensated by combining a cav-Au-MWCNT

sensor with an Au-MWCNT sensor, since the latter is more sensitive to NO₂ and while insensitive to benzene levels below 60 ppb. The cav-Au-MWCNT sensor response toward aromatic VOCs diminishes as the relative humidity in the gas flow increases. It remains responsive to benzene traces in presence of humidity but it becomes insensitive to toluene or *o*-xylene in the same range of concentrations. Possibilities to avoid the effect of moisture could be the dehumidification of the gas flow by employing a inexpensive filter, or keep the hydrophobic character of MWCNTs by using an alternative route to the oxygen plasma treatment used to anchor gold nanoparticles. Finally, it is worth mentioning that both the detection and the recovery of the baseline are performed at room temperature, which implies that these sensors can operate at very low power consumption. This makes the sensor suitable for being integrated in hand-held portable analyzers, wearable detectors and semi-passive radio frequency identification tags with sensing capabilities or in the nodes of wireless sensor networks with a wide range of potential applications in environmental monitoring, workplace safety or medical devices, among others.

4. Experimental section

The cavitand 4 was synthesized following a reported procedure (Error! Reference source not found..).^[9c, 10a] The synthesis of 4 was accomplished in four steps: (1) acid catalyzed condensation of 10-undecenal with resorcinol to yield the resorcin[4]arene framework 1;

(2) TMS protection of the OH groups in the upper rim of the resorcin[4]arene to afforded cavitand 2; (3) addition of 1-decanethiol to the terminal alkenes of the legs of cavitand 2 with concomitant cleavage of the TMS protecting groups afforded cavitand 3. Finally, (4) base promoted coupling of 3 with 2,3-dichloroquinoxaline produced cavitand

4 (details on the synthesis are reported in the Support information).

The chemical compositions of O-MWCNT, Au-MWCNT, and cav-Au-MWCNT samples were analyzed using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), VERSAPROBE PHI 5000 from Physical Electronics, equipped with a Monochromatic Al Kα X-Ray. The energy resolution was 0.7 eV. For the compensation of built up charge on the sample surface during the measurements, a dual beam charge neutralization composed of an electron

gun (~1 eV) and the Argon Ion gun (≤10 eV) was used.

MWCNTs were obtained from NanocylTM (3101 grade). They were grown by chemical vapor deposition with purity higher than 95%. Carbon nanotubes were up to 1.5 μm long and 9.5 nm in outer diameter. They underwent an oxygen plasma treatment to clean them from amorphous carbon, to promote their dispersion in an appropriate solvent and to create reactive sites (i.e. oxygenated vacancies, O-MWCNTs) in which metal nanoparticles can nucleate. O-MWCNTs were suspended in chloroform (0.5% w/w) and sonicated during 30 min to uniformly disperse them in the solution, which led to a reproducible CNT density. The prepared suspension was air-brushed on an alumina substrate that comprised of 10x10 mm screen-printed, Pt-interdigitated electrodes with gap of 500 μm between electrodes. During the airbrushing, the substrate was kept at

100 °C for achieving fast evaporation of the solvent coupled with the resistance monitoring of the device until it reached the defined value of 5 k Ω . This strategy, which is very similar to the one reported by Zilberman et al.,^[41] enables us to control both the density of the CNT coating and the amount of O-MWCNTs deposited, ensuring device to device reproducibility. To ensure the complete removal of the solvent and promote adhesion of the MWCNT mat to the substrate, sensors were heated at 150 °C for 2 h.

The final resistance of thermally-treated sensors was a few hundred of ohms. The next step was decoration of O-MWCNTs with Au-NPs achieving by RF sputtering process conducted at 13.56 MHz with a power of 30 W under Ar plasma at room temperature, under 0.1 Torr, for 10 s. For the formation of the self-assembled

monolayer of the deep cavitand, sensor substrates were immersed in a solution (20 mL) of the cavitand (0.5 mM). The SAM process was conducted at 60 °C for a period of maximum 24 h. These conditions allowed a reversible adsorption in order to have wellordered assembly of the quinoxaline-walled cavitand (4).^[8a] Finally, the sensor substrates were cooled to room temperature, rinsed with pure chloroform and dried at

50 °C during 30 min.

The gas sensing properties of the sensors were measured using a miniaturized Teflon chamber (35 mL). Computer-controlled mass flow meters (Bronkhorst hi-tech 7.03.241) and calibrated gas bottles were used (NO₂, CO, ethanol, benzene, toluene, o-xylene all diluted in pure air from Praxair), and pure air from Air Products was used to obtain

different concentrations. A continuous flow (100, 200 or 400 mL/min) was used throughout measurements. The flow were humidified to 10%, 25% and 60% R.H. by employing an Environics Series 4000 gas mixing system (Environics Inc., Tolland, CT, USA). Once sensors were placed inside the test chamber, they were connected to a multimeter interface, which allowed the real-time reading of the resistance. Sensor response is defined as SR% = ($R_{gas} - R_{air}$) * 100 / R_{air} . Throughout the whole testing period sensors were always operated at room temperature (25 °C). Given the low concentration levels tested, the measurement rig was checked to rule out the presence of contamination in mass-flows and tubing by performing a set of control GC/MS tests (run before, during and after gas measurements).

a) United States Environmental Protection Agency, Drinking Water Contaminants,
 http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/index.cfm#Organic, May, 2009; b) European
 Commission, Air Quality Standards,

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/standards.htm, accessed: Feb 16, 2015.

[2] a) R. Baan, Y. Grosse, K. Straif, B. Secretan, F. El Ghissassi, V. Bouvard, L.
Benbrahim-Tallaa, N. Guha, C. Freeman, L. Galichet, *The lancet oncology* 2009, *10*, 1143; b)
A. FassÒ, G. Arduino, *Encyclopedia of Environmetrics* 2012; c) D. Dougherty, S. Garte, A.
Barchowsky, J. Zmuda, E. Taioli, *Toxicology letters* 2008, *182*, 7; d) R. E. Hester, R. M.
Harrison, *Volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 1995;
e) A. P. DeCaprio, *CRC Critical Reviews in Toxicology* 1999, *29*, 283.

[3] The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, NIOSH Pocket guide for chemical hazards: Benzene, www.cdc.gov/niosh/npg/npgd0049.html, accessed: Apr 4, 2011

[4] Official Journal of the European Union,50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe,

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/legislation/existing_leg.htm, accessed: Apr 14, 2008.

[5] a) J. Sun, F. Guan, D. Cui, X. Chen, L. Zhang, J. Chen, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 2013, *188*, 513; b) C. Liaud, N. Nguyen, R. Nasreddine, S. Le Calvé, *Talanta* 2014, *127*, 33; c) R.-S. Jian, Y.-S. Huang, S.-L. Lai, L.-Y. Sung, C.-J. Lu, *Microchemical Journal* 2013, *108*, 161.

[6] D. J. Cram, S. Karbach, H. E. Kim, C. B. Knobler, E. F. Maverick, J. L. Ericson, R. C. Helgeson, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **1988**, *110*, 2229.

[7] a) M. Vincenti, E. Dalcanale, P. Soncini, G. Guglielmetti, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1990, *112*, 445; b) M. Vincenti, E. Dalcanale, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.*2 1995, 1069.

[8] a) E. U. Thoden van Velzen, J. F. Engbersen, D. N. Reinhoudt, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1994, *116*, 3597; b) E. U. Thoden van Velzen, J. F. Engbersen, P.
J. de Lange, J. W. Mahy, D. N. Reinhoudt, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1995, *117*, 6853; c) E. U. Thoden van Velzen, J. F. Engbersen, D. N. Reinhoudt, *Synthesis* 1995, 1995, 989.

[9] a) F. Dickert, R. Sikorski, *Materials Science and Engineering: C* 1999, *10*, 39; b) Y.
Yamakoshi, R. R. Schlittler, J. K. Gimzewski, F. Diederich, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*2001, *11*, 2895; c) V. A. Azov, P. J. Skinner, Y. Yamakoshi, P. Seiler, V. Gramlich, F.
Diederich, *Helvetica chimica acta* 2003, *86*, 3648.

[10] a) E. B. Feresenbet, M. Busi, F. Ugozzoli, E. Dalcanale, D. K. Shenoy, *Sensor Letters* **2004**, *2*, 186; b) R. Capan, Z. Özbek, H. Göktaş, S. Şen, F. Ince, M. Özel, G. Stanciu, F.
Davis, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2010**, *148*, 358; c) M. Erdoğan, R. Capan, F.
Davis, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2010**, *145*, 66; d) Y. Liu, T. Taira, M. C. Young,
D. Ajami, J. Rebek Jr, Q. Cheng, R. J. Hooley, *Langmuir* **2011**, *28*, 1391; e) A. Hassan, A.

Ray, A. Nabok, F. Davis, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 2001, 77, 638; f) E. B.
Feresenbet, E. Dalcanale, C. Dulcey, D. K. Shenoy, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 2004, 97, 211.

[11] O. B. Berryman, A. C. Sather, J. Rebek Jr, Organic letters 2011, 13, 5232.

[12] a) T. Weiss, K. Schierbaum, U. T. van Velzen, D. Reinhoudt, W. Göpel, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 1995, *26*, 203; b) J. Hartmann, J. Auge, R. Lucklum, S. Rösler, P.
Hauptmann, B. Adler, E. Dalcanale, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 1996, *34*, 305; c) J.
Hartmann, P. Hauptmann, S. Levi, E. Dalcanale, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 1996, *35*, 154; d) R. Paolesse, C. Di Natale, S. Nardis, A. Macagnano, A. D'Amico, R. Pinalli, E.
Dalcanale, *Chemistry-A European Journal* 2003, *9*, 5388; e) O. Mermer, S. Okur, F. Siimer, C. Ozbek, S. Sayin, M. Yilmaz, *Acta Physica Polonica-Series A General Physics* 2012, *121*, 240.

[13] M. Ferrari, V. Ferrari, D. Marioli, A. Taroni, M. Suman, E. Dalcanale, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* **2004**, *103*, 240.

[14] a) F. Bianchi, A. Bedini, N. Riboni, R. Pinalli, A. Gregori, L. M. Sidisky, E. Dalcanale,
M. Careri, *Analytical chemistry* 2014; b) R. Pinalli, T. Barboza, F. Bianchi, C. Massera, F.
Ugozzoli, E. Dalcanale, *Supramolecular Chemistry* 2013, 25, 682.

[15] a) F. Bianchi, R. Pinalli, F. Ugozzoli, S. Spera, M. Careri, E. Dalcanale, *New Journal of Chemistry* 2003, *27*, 502; b) S. Zampolli, I. Elmi, F. Mancarella, P. Betti, E. Dalcanale, G. Cardinali, M. Severi, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* 2009, *141*, 322; c) S. Zampolli, P. Betti, I. Elmi, E. Dalcanale, *Chemical Communications* 2007, 2790; d) F. Bianchi, M. Mattarozzi, P. Betti, F. Bisceglie, M. Careri, A. Mangia, L. Sidisky, S. Ongarato, E. Dalcanale, *Analytical Chemistry* 2008, *80*, 6423.

[16] a) M. Dionisio, J. M. Schnorr, V. K. Michaelis, R. G. Griffin, T. M. Swager, E.Dalcanale, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2012, *134*, 6540; b) F. Wang, T. M.

Swager, Journal of the American Chemical Society 2011, 133, 11181; c) L. Kong, J. Wang, F.

Meng, X. Chen, Z. Jin, M. Li, J. Liu, X.-J. Huang, *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **2011**, *21*, 11109.

[17] J. M. Schnorr, D. van der Zwaag, J. J. Walish, Y. Weizmann, T. M. Swager, *Advanced Functional Materials* **2013**, *23*, 5285.

[18] E. Llobet, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical 2013, 179, 32.

[19] a) P. Clément, I. Hafaiedh, E. Parra, A. Thamri, J. Guillot, A. Abdelghani, E. Llobet, *Carbon* 2014, 78, 510; b) R. Leghrib, A. Felten, F. Demoisson, F. Reniers, J.-J. Pireaux, E. Llobet, *Carbon* 2010, 48, 3477.

[20] R. Leghrib, E. Llobet, *Analytica chimica acta* **2011**, 708, 19.

[21] I. Suarez-Martinez, C. Bittencourt, X. Ke, A. Felten, J. Pireaux, J. Ghijsen, W. Drube,

G. Van Tendeloo, C. Ewels, *Carbon* 2009, 47, 1549.

[22] D. J. Cram, M. E. Tanner, C. B. Knobler, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 1991, *113*, 7717.

[23] P. Soncini, S. Bonsignore, E. Dalcanale, F. Ugozzoli, *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* 1992, *57*, 4608.

[24] Y. Zhang, N. W. Franklin, R. J. Chen, H. Dai, *Chemical Physics Letters* 2000, 331, 35.

[25] J.-C. Charlier, L. Arnaud, I. Avilov, M. Delgado, F. Demoisson, E. Espinosa, C. P.

Ewels, A. Felten, J. Guillot, R. Ionescu, Nanotechnology 2009, 20, 375501.

[26] T. Prasomsri, D. Shi, D. E. Resasco, *Chemical Physics Letters* **2010**, 497, 103.

[27] Z. Zanolli, R. Leghrib, A. Felten, J.-J. Pireaux, E. Llobet, J.-C. Charlier, *ACS Nano***2011**, *5*, 4592.

[28] J.-C. Charlier, Accounts of chemical research 2002, 35, 1063.

[29] A. Salehi-Khojin, F. Khalili-Araghi, M. A. Kuroda, K. Y. Lin, J.-P. Leburton, R. I.Masel, ACS nano 2010, 5, 153.

[30] a) B. Wang, J. C. Cancilla, J. S. Torrecilla, H. Haick, *Nano letters* 2014, *14*, 933; b) G.
Konvalina, H. Haick, *Accounts of chemical research* 2013, *47*, 66.

[31] S. Kline, W. Reynolds, F. Schraub, P. Runstadler, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 1967, 30, 741.

[32] D. MacDougall, W. B. Crummett, Analytical Chemistry 1980, 52, 2242.

[33] a) D. M. Rudkevich, G. Hilmersson, J. Rebek, Journal of the American Chemical

Society 1998, 120, 12216; b) D. M. Rudkevich, G. Hilmersson, J. Rebek, Journal of the

American Chemical Society 1997, 119, 9911; c) P. J. Skinner, A. G. Cheetham, A. Beeby, V.

Gramlich, F. Diederich, Helvetica Chimica Acta 2001, 84, 2146.

[34] United States Environmental Protection Agency, Nitrogen dioxide,

http://www.epa.gov/air/nitrogenoxides/.

[35] J. Li, Y. Lu, Q. Ye, M. Cinke, J. Han, M. Meyyappan, *Nano Letters* **2003**, *3*, 929.

[36] K. Balasubramanian, K. Kern, Advanced Materials 2014, 26, 1154.

- [37] J. Pawliszyn, Handbook of Solid State Microextraction, Elsevier, London, UK, 2012.
- [38] Humidity filtering tubes for PID detectors,

http://www.rae.nl/files/pdf/FeedsEnclosure-Humidity_Tube_II_LR_051507.pdf, accessed: April the 30th, 2015.

- [39] T. Sainsbury, T. Ikuno, D. Okawa, D. Pacile, J. M. Frechet, A. Zettl, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2007**, *111*, 12992.
- [40] X. Guo, Advanced Materials **2013**, 25, 3397.
- [41] Y. Zilberman, U. Tisch, G. Shuster, W. Pisula, X. Feng, K. Müllen, H. Haick, *Advanced Materials* **2010**, *22*, 4317.



Figure 1. Energy-minimized (MM3 as implemented in Scigress v3.0) structure of cavitand **4** in vase conformation. Included molecule is omitted and cavitand legs -(CH₂)₉-S-(CH₂)₉-CH₃ are presented as methyl groups for clarity.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the preparation of the cav-Au-MWCNT material: (1) oxygen plasma treatment; (2) Au-RF-sputtering (formation of Au-nucleus); (3) Au-RF-sputtering (growth of Au-nucleus and formation of Au-NP); (4) self-assembly of the cavitand 4 monolayer on the Au-NP surface by dipping the material in a chloroform (S) solution of the cavitand 4; (5) solvent removal (an air molecule, e.g. nitrogen, replaces

chloroform (S) molecule from the cavitand interior).^[22] Nitrogen and chloroform

molecules are presented as CPK models. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Symbol ⊂ stands for "included in". Note: we hypothesize that upon chloroform removal, the cavitand legs are no longer solvated and the molecule collapses on the Au-MWCNT

surface.



Figure 3. Typical TEM image of Au-MWCNTs.



Figure 4. Typical TEM image of: a) cav-Au-MWCNTs and b) zoom-in of the selected area.



Figure 5. a) Survey spectra acquired for each step of the MWCNTs modification (1-4) and for the cavitand 4 (5). b) a zoom-in of N_{1s} core level spectra are plotted as acquired from cavitand 4 (5) and cav-Au-MWCNT samples (3 and 4).



Figure 6. a) A typical cav-Au-MWCNT sensor resistance response in function of benzene concentration in air; b) The relative sensor response for different gas contaminants. In all experiments, we employed a gas flow of 200 mL min⁻¹.



Figure 7. a) cav-Au-MWCNT sensor resistance response in function of benzene concentration in air at 400 mL min⁻¹, and b) the relative sensor response for benzene at

different gas flows.



Figure 8. The cav-Au-MWCNT sensor resistance response in function of two benzene

concentrations (2.5 and 20 ppb) exposed to sensor in a random order.



Figure 9. Proposed benzene sensing and recovery mechanism. Benzene and nitrogen molecules are shown as CPK models. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Notes: * - crucial structures in the sensing process.



Figure 10. Representation of two proposed types of communication between the cavitand 4 and the Au-MWCNT. Benzene molecules are presented as CPK models. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.