"This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201706263. , which has been published in final form at [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/anie.201706263/full This article may be used for noncommercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving."

Catalytic Intermolecular Dicarbofunctionalization of Styrenes with CO₂ and Radical Precursors

Veera Reddy Yatham, Yangyang Shen and Ruben Martin*

Abstract: A redox-neutral intermolecular dicarbofunctionalization of styrenes with CO_2 at atmospheric pressure and carbon-centered radicals is described. This mild protocol results in multiple C–C bond-forming reactions from simple precursors in the absence of stoichiometric reductants, thus exploiting a previously unrecognized opportunity that complements existing catalytic carboxylation events.

Driven by the abundance and inherent synthetic potential of carbon dioxide (CO₂) as C1 source,^[1] chemists have been challenging to design catalytic C–C bond-formations en route to carboxylic acids, privileged motifs in a myriad of molecules that display significant biological properties.^[2] Despite the considerable advances realized, the catalytic synthesis of valuable phenylacetic acids from CO₂ as C1 source remains confined to *single C–C bond formations* by using stoichiometric metal reductants with organic (pseudo)halides (Scheme 1, *path a*)^[3,4] or stoichiometric amounts of well-defined, air-sensitive, organometallic reagents with styrenes as coupling counterparts (*path b*).^[5] Unfortunatelly, specialized ancillary ligands are required in the former^[4] whereas a limited set of substitution patterns are within reach in the latter,^[5] thus reinforcing the need for a change in strategy.



ICREA, Passeig Lluïs Companys, 23, 08010 Barcelona Spain

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.((Please delete this text if not appropriate))

classical catalytic carboxylations for preparing phenyl acetic acids



Scheme 1. Catalytic preparation of phenyl acetic acids from CO2

As part of our ongoing interest in Ni-catalyzed reductive carboxylation techniques with CO₂,^[6,7] we questioned whether a design principle complementary to conventional new carboxylation protocols with improved flexibility and versatility en route to phenyl acetic acids could ever be implemented. In particular, we speculated that a redox-neutral mechanism^[8] might enable an intermolecular dicarbofunctionalization of styrenes with simple radical precursors via the intermediacy of I (Scheme 1, bottom), thus offering new vistas for an atomeconomical incorporation of CO2 into organic matter.^[9] If successful, such a scenario might unravel a multifaceted challenge, not only providing the synergistic merger of visible light photoredox catalysis and CO₂ with π -systems,^[10,11] but also offering an unrecognized opportunity in catalytic carboxylations to enable multiple, intermolecular C-C bond-formations.[12,13] Herein, we report the successful realization of this goal. This protocol operates at atmospheric pressure of CO2 without the for organic (pseudo)halides^[4] or stoichiometric need reductants.^[5] The method is characterized by its mild conditions and wide substrate scope with a range of different radical precursors and/or styrenes possessing a diverse set of substitution patterns.

CO2 (1 bar) HO₂C CF₃ 2a (1 mol%) CF₃SO₂Na Ph'' Ph DMF, rt Blue-LEDs 3a then HCI (2M) 3a (%)[a] Entry Deviation from standard conditions **90** (86)^[b] 1 none 2 2b instead of 2a 29 З 2c instead of 2a 50 4 2d instead of 2a 57 5 THF instead of DMF 40 6 70 DMSO instead of DMF 7 MeCN instead of DMF 18 8 PhMe instead of DMF 56 9 No 2a 0 10 0 In the dark PF_6 - PF₆-F₂(F F₃C **2b** (R = H) 2d 2a 2c(R = t-Bu)

Scheme 2. Optimization of the reaction conditions. 1a (0.20 mmol), CF₃SO₂Na (0.24 mmol), Ir photocatalyst (1 mol%), CO₂ (1 bar), DMF (0.10 M) at rt for 15 h, followed by HCI (2M) quench. [a] NMR yields using PhCF₃ as internal standard. [b] Isolated yield. Redox potentials of Ir photocatalysts: 2a (Ered [Ir^{III}/Ir^{II}] = -1.51V vs SCE in MeCN); **2b** (E_{red} [Ir^{III}/Ir^{II}] = -1.37V vs SCE in MeCN); **2c** $(E_{red}[Ir^{II}/Ir^{II}] = -1.37V \text{ vs SCE in MeCN})$; **2d** $(E_{red}[Ir^{II}/Ir^{II}] = -1.41V \text{ vs SCE in MeCN})$ MeCN).

Prompted by the inherent interest of perfluorinated alkyl groups in drug discovery, particularly the trifluoromethyl group, [14] our investigations started by studying the catalytic redox-neutral trifluoromethylcarboxylation reaction of 1a with Langlois reagent (CF_3SO_2Na) and CO_2 (1 bar) under blue light-emitting diodes (LEDs) irradiation at room temperature (Scheme 2).[15,16] As anticipated, the nature of the photocatalyst markedly influenced the reaction outcome, with 2a providing the best results (entry 1).^[17] Intriguingly, the use of **2b-2d** resulted in significant lower yields of 3a. These findings might be interpreted on the basis of a more efficient SET from the reduced photocatalyst 2a Ered [Ir^{III}/Ir^{II}] = -1.51V vs SCE in MeCN)^[18] to 1,1-diphenyl 3,3,3trifluoropropane radical (E_{red} = -1.34V vs SCE in MeCN)^[19] prior to CO₂ insertion (Scheme 1, *bottom*).^[20] As shown in entries 5-8, the employment of solvents other than DMF had a deleterious effect, resulting in lower yields of 3a. Rigorous control experiments revealed that all of the reaction parameters were crucial for the transformation to occur; indeed, not even traces of **3a** were found in the absence of light or **2a** (entries 9 and 10).

WILEY-VCH CO₂ (1 bar) 2a (1 mol%) CF₃SO₂Na DMF. rt Ř² R^2 Blue-LEDs 1a-u 3a-u CO₂H Ph R = H, 86%, 80%^[a] (**3a**) R = OMe, 93% (3b) R = F, 79% (3c) За CO₂H CO₂H CO₂H Ph Me $Ar = p - FC_6H_4$ $Ar = p - FC_6H_4$ 93% (**3f**) 63% (**3d**) 60% (3e) CO₂H CO₂H Ph. CE Ńе MeO B 45% (**3g**) R = OTs, 60% (3h) R = CF₃, 85% (**3i**) (dr = 1:1)R = Bpin, 50% (3j)^[b] CO₂H CO₂H CO₂H CF₃ CF₂

R

R = OMe, 43% (3n)^[b]

R = CF₃, 80% (30)

X = Br. 80% (3k)X = CI. 60% (3I)

X = OPiv, 60% (**3m**)^[b] R = Br, 75% (**3p**)

 F_3C

Me _ I

68% (3s)

Ì

R = OMe, 60% (3q)^[b,c]

NC

R = NMe(OMe), 70% (3r)[c]

CO₂H

Мe

60% (3u)^[c]

(dr = 2:1)

.CF₃

Scheme 3. Redox-neutral trifluoromethylcarboxylation of styrenes. Reaction conditions: as in Scheme 2 (entry 1). Isolated yields, average of at least two independent runs. [a] Reaction performed at 1.0 mmol scale (1a). [b] 2a (2 mol%), CF3SO2Na (2.0 equiv) in DMF at 5 °C for 15 h. [c] Isolated as the corresponding methyl ester upon exposure to TMSCHN₂.

CO₂H Et 、⊥

67% (3t)

Encouraged by these results, we turned our attention to examine the generality of our trifluoromethylcarboxylation with 2a and CF₃SO₂Na (Scheme 3).^[21,22] As shown, a host of differently substituted styrene derivatives could be used for our purposes. Particularly noteworthy was the observation that the reaction could be equally extended to α - or β -substituted styrenes, with the former resulting in quaternary carbon centers (3a-3g, 3s and 3t). These findings certainly constitute a bonus when compared to classical hydrocarboxylation reactions with CO2 that require either stoichiometric reductants or a rather limited set of substitution patterns on the styrene backbone.^[5,11] The chemoselectivity profile of our trifluoromethylcarboxylation was illustrated by the tolerance of a variety of functional groups such as alkenes (3d),^[23] alkynes (3e), nitriles (3u), esters (3m, 3q) or amides (3r), delivering the targeted phenyl acetic acids in good to excellent yields. While the use of aryl chlorides (3I, 3s), bromides (3k, 3p), tosylates (3h) or even aryl pivalates (3m) as coupling partners have become routine,[24] including related carboxylation events,^[25] we found that the presence of these electrophilic sites did not compete with the efficacy of our reaction. Similarly, aryl boronates did not interfere, albeit in lower yields (3j).^[26] These observations are particularly noteworthy, providing ample room for further derivatization via





either C–B or C-X (X = Br, Cl, OTs or OPiv) bond-cleavage, suggesting the viability for implementing orthogonal crosscoupling techniques. Importantly, the reaction can be conducted at 1 mmol scale without a significant erosion in yield of **3a**.



Scheme 4. Dicarbofunctionalization of styrene derivatives using a diverse set of carbon-centered radical precursors. Reaction conditions: as in Scheme 2 (entry 1). Isolated yields, average of at least two independent runs. ^[a] **2a** (2 mol%), CHF₂SO₂Na (2.0 equiv) at 5 °C. ^[b] **2c** (2 mol%). ^[c] Isolated as the methyl ester upon exposure to TMSCHN₂.

In light of these results, we wondered whether our redoxneutral dicarbofunctionalization reaction of styrenes with CO2 could be extended to radical precursors other than CF₃SO₂Na.^[27] As shown in Scheme 4, this turned out to be the case. Specifically, we found that difluoromethyl-containing phenyl acetic acids are easily within reach when using CHF₂SO₂Na under otherwise identical reaction conditions to those shown for CF_3SO_2Na (4a-4c). In light of these results, we questioned whether non-fluorinated radical analogues could also be used for similar purposes. Indeed, we found that easily accessible benzyl trifluoroborates (Eox = +1.1V vs SCE in MeCN)^[28] and tert-butyl oxalates (E_{ox} = +1.28V vs SCE in MeCN)^[29] could be employed in our dicarbofunctionalization reaction. In this case, however, the more strongly oxidizing photocatalyst 2c (E_{red} [Ir^{III*}/Ir^{II}] = +1.21V vs SCE in MeCN) was required, cleanly delivering 4d-4g in moderate to good yields.^[30] Taken together, the results compiled in Schemes 3 and 4 stand as a testament to the prospective potential of redox-neutral catalysis for enabling dicarbofunctionalization reactions of π components with CO₂ and radical precursors, representing a different, yet complementary, reactivity mode to existing catalytic carboxylation events.^[31] We anticipate that these findings might open up new vistas for effecting otherwise inaccessible coupling processes involving CO₂ as coupling partner.





Scheme 5. Preliminary mechanistic studies

The efficiency of our dicarbofunctionalization of styrenes with CO₂ prompted us to conduct preliminary mechanistic studies (Scheme 5). As anticipated, "light-dark" experiments confirmed that our reaction required continuous visible light irradiation.[17] Stern-Volmer luminiscence studies demonstrated that the excited stated of 2a was quenched by CF₃SO₂Na (E_{ox} = +1.05V vs SCE in MeCN)^[15c] but not by **1a** (E_{ox} = +1.81V vs SCE in MeCN).^[17,19a,32] These results suggested the involvement of a reductive quenching photocatalytic cycle, in which a transient carbon-centered radical, generated upon single electron transfer (SET) with the excited state of the photocatalyst, is added across the styrene backbone. A subsequent SET from the reduced photocatalyst to I (Scheme 1, bottom) might give rise to a benzylic carbanion that rapidly reacts with CO2^[33,34] Although control experiments in the absence of CO₂ resulted in competitive decarboxylation from 3a-Na (Eox = +1.05V vs SCE in MeCN),^[35] trace amounts of 5, if any, were observed in the presence of CO₂ (Scheme 5, top pathways). The intermediacy of transient benzyl anionic species via SET from the reduced photocatalyst 2a was indirectly confirmed by isotope-labelling studies (Scheme 5, bottom). Specifically, 6 and 7 (99%-D) were exclusively obtained upon exposure of 1m and 1q to CF₃SO₂Na under visible light irradiation with 2a and D₂O in the absence of CO₂, thus ruling out the participation of hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) with DMF. Note, however, that the available data do not allow us to rigorously rule out an alternative mechanistic scenario in which the transient benzyl radical intermediate reacts reversibly with CO2 followed by SET from the reduced photocatalyst 2a to the carboxyl radical intermediate, leading the final sodium carboxylate. Further mechanistic studies to unravel the intricacies of this transformation are ongoing.

In summary, we have documented a catalytic intermolecular dicarbofunctionalization of styrenes with CO₂ as C1 source and radical precursors. This mild and versatile protocol offers a reactivity principle that is complementary to classical catalytic carboxylations, unlocking previously inaccessible scenarios in the carboxylation arena based on multiple C–C bond-forming events from π -components and in the absence of stoichiometric reductants. Further work along these lines is currently underway in our laboratories.

Acknowledgements

We thank ICIQ, the European Research Council (ERC-277883), MINECO (Severo Ochoa Excellence Accreditation 2014-2018, SEV-2013-0319 & CTQ2015-65496-R) and Cellex Foundation for support. V.R.Y. and Y.S thank COFUND and CSC for a postdoctoral and predoctoral scholarships. We thank Dr. Francisco Juliá-Hernández for insightful discussions.

Keywords: carbon dioxide • radical • catalysis • C–C bondformation • difunctionalization

- (a) F. Juliá-Hernández, M. Gaydou, E. Serrano, M. Van Gemmeren, R. Martin, *Topics in Current Chemistry*. 2016, 374, 45-128. (b) Q. Liu, L. Wu, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 5933-5947. (c) L. Zhang, Z. Hou, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 3395-3403. (d) Y. Tsuji, T. Fujihara, *Chem. Commun.* 2012, 48, 9956-9964. (e) M. Peters, B. Köhler, W. Kuckshinrichs, W. Leitner, P. Markewitz, T. E. Müller, *ChemSusChem.* 2011, 4, 1216-1240. (f) K. Huang, C. L. Sun, Z. Shi, *J. Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 2435-2452.
- (a) S. Patai, The Chemistry of Acid Derivatives; Wiley: New York, 1992.
 (b) H. Maag, Prodrugs of Carboxylic Acids; Springer: New York, 2007.
- [3] (a) T. Moragas, M. Gaydou, R. Martin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 5053–5057; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 5137–5141. (b) S. Zhang, W. Q. Chen, A. Yu, L. N. He, ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 3972-3977. (c) A. Correa, T. León, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1062-1069; (d) T. León, A. Correa, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1221-1224.
- [4] For a selected review on the topic: M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, D. Gallego, R. Martin, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6739-6749.
- [5] (a) C. M. Williams, J. B. Johnson, T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 14936-14937. (b) P. Shao, S. Wang, C. Chen, C. Xi, *Org. Lett.* 2016, *18*, 2050-2053. (c) M. D. Greenhalgh, S. P. Thomas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 11900-11903.
- [6] (a) F. Juliá-Hernández, T. Moragas, J. Cornella, R. Martin, *Nature* 2017, 545, 84-88. (b) M. Börjesson, T. Moragas, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 7504-7507. (c) X. Wang, M. Nakajima, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 8924-8927. (d) T. Moragas, J. Cornella, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 17702-17705. (e) Y. Liu, J. Cornella, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 11212-11903.
- [7] For selected carboxylations from other groups: (a) K. Michigami, T. Mita, Y. Sato, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6094-6097. (b) B. Carry, L. Zhang, M. Nishiura, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 6257-6260; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 6365–6368. (c) F. Rebih, M.Andreini, A. Moncomble, A. Harrison-Marchand, J. Maddaluno, M. Durandetti, Chem. –Eur. J. 2016, 22, 3758-3763. (d) K. Nogi, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50 13052-13055. (e) H. Tran-Vu, O. Daugulis, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 2417-2420. (f) S. H. Li, W. Yuan, S. Ma, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2578-2582; Angew. Chem. 2011 123, 2626–2630. (g) T. Fujihara, T. Xu, K. Semba, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 523–527; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 543–547.
- [8] (a) D. C. Fabry, M. Rueping, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1969–1979.
 (b) M. N. Hopkinson, A. Tlahuext-Aca, F. Glorius, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2261–2272. (c) K. L. Skubi, T. R. Blum, T. P. Yoon, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 10035–10074. (d) M. D. Kärkäs, J. A. Porco, C. R. J. Stephenson, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 9683–9747. (e) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5322–5363.
- [9] For an excellent review on photoredox difunctionalization techniques: T. Koike, M. Akita, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1937-1945.
- [10] For the recent use of non-visible light irradiation (*UV light*) and CO₂: (a) H. Seo, M. H. Katcher, T. F. Jamison, *Nat. Chem.* 2017, *9*, 453–456.
 (b) Y. -Y. Gui, E. -J. Zhou, J. -H. Ye, D. -G. Yu, *ChemSusChem* 2017, *10*, 1337–1340. (c) Y. Masuda, N. Ishida, M. Murakami, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 14063–14066. (d) N. Ishida, Y. Masuda, S. Uemoto, M. Murakami, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 6524–6527.
- [11] While this manuscript was in preparation, an elegant catalytic hydrocarboxylation of styrenes mediated by visible light requiring stoichiometric amine reductants and Rh catalysts was described: K. Murata, N. Numasawa, K. Shimomaki, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, *Chem. Commun.* **2017**, *53*, 3098–3101.

- WILEY-VCH
- [12] For multiple C–C bond-formations with CO₂ requiring organic halide counterparts and/or stoichiometric metal reductants: (a) X. Wang, Y. Liu, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 6476-6479. (b) K. Nogi, T. Fujihara, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5547-5550.
- [13] For selected heterocarbofunctionalization of alkenes not dealing with multiple C-C bond formations: (a) Z. Zhang, T. Ju, M. Miao, J.-L. Han, Y.-H. Zhang, X.-Y. Zhu, J.-H. Ye, D.-G. Yu, Y.-G. Zhi, *Org. Lett.* 2017, 19, 396–399. (b) Z. Zhang, L.-L. Zhang, S.-S. Yan, L. Wang, Y.-Q. He, J.-H. Ye, J. Li, Y.-G. Zhi, D.-G. Yu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 7068–7072; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 123, 7184–7188. (c) K. Sasano, J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 10954–10957.
- [14] Selected references: (a) O. A.; Tomashenko, V. V. Grushin, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 4475–4521. (b) Yale, H. L. J. Med. Chem. 1958, *1*, 121–133.
- [15] For selected trifluoromethylations involving Langlois reagent: (a) C. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2895–2906. (b) A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950–8958; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9082–9090. (c) D. J. Wilger, N. J. Gesmundo, D. A. Nicewicz, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3160–3165. (d) L. Zhu, L.-S. Wang, B. Li, B. Fu, C. P. Zhang, W. Li, Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 6371–6374.
- [16] For a selection of non-carboxylative techniques using CF₃ radicals in multiple C–C bond-formations with π-components, see: (a) L. Wu, F. Wang, X. Wan, D. Wang, P. Chen, G. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 2904–2907. (b) T. Koike, M. Akita, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2016, 49, 1937–1945. (c) R. Tomita, T. Koike, M. Akita, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, *54*, 12923-12927; *Angew. Chem.* 2015, 127, 13115-13119. (d) Carboni, A.; Dagousset, G.; Magnier, E.; Masson, G. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 14197-14200. (e) W. Kong, M. Casimiro, E. Merino, C. Nevado, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 14480–14483.
- [17] See Supporting information for details
- [18] H. Kim, C. Lee, Angew. Chem. Int. Chem. 2012, 51, 12303-12306; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 12469-12472
- [19] (a) Y. Shiraishi, N. Saito, T. Hirai, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 773–775. (b)
 B. A. Sim, D. Griller, D. D. M. Wayner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, 111, 754–755. (c) D. D. M. Wayner, D. J. McPhee, D. Griller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 132–137.
- [20] The lower reactivity of 2c can also be interpreted on the basis of a non-productive energy transfer between the excited state of 2c (ET = ~63 kcal/mol) and 1a (ET = ~61 kcal/mol). See: T. Ni, R. A. Caldwell, L. A. Melton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1989, 111, 457-464.
- [21] The mass balance for 3a-3u and 4a-4g accounts for unreacted starting material, reduced product generated upon HCl quench and traces (if any) of homodimerization.
- [22] CCDC 1557037 (3a) and CCDC 1557038 (3i) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper and can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac/uk/data_request/cif.
- [23] Intriguingly, competitive addition of CF₃ radical to the α -olefin did not compete with the efficacy of the trifluoromethylcarboxylation event. See: Z. –Q. Liu, D. Liu, *J. Org. Chem.* **2017**, *82*, 1649–1656.
- [24] (a) S. Z.Tasker, E. A. Standley, T. F. Jamison, *Nature* 2014, *509*, 299–309. (b) *Metal-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*; F. Diederich, A. Meijere, Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004.
- [25] For the catalytic carboxylation of aryl halides, sulfonates or pivalates:
 (a) K. Nogi, T. Fujihara, T. Xu, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *J. Org. Chem.*, **2015**, *80*, 11618-11623. (b) ref. 3c. (c) T. Fujihara, K. Nogi, T. Xu, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 9106–9109. (d) A. Correa, R. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15974–15975.
- [26] Competitive carboxylation at C–B bond was not observed. The lower yield of 3k accounts for 1k unaltered. For a review dealing with the carboxylation of organoboranes: A. Correa, R. Martin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6201–6204; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6317–6320.
- [27] For selected carbon-centered radical additions (other than CF₃) to styrenes without CO₂: (a) S. Sumino, M. Uno, T. Fukuyama, I. Ryu, M. Matsuura, A. Yamamoto, Y. Kishikawa, *J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 82, 5469–5474. (b) B. Yang, X. –H. Xu, F. –L. Qing, *Org. Lett.* 2016, *18*, 5956–5959. (c) G. H Lovett, B. A. Sparling, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, 18, 3494–3497. (d) S. Mizuta, S. Verhoog, K. M. Engle, T. Khotavivattana, M. O'Duill, K. Wheelhouse, G. Rassias, M. Médebielle, V. Gouverneur, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 2505–2508.
- [28] For selected references using trifluoroborates in photoredox catalysis:
 (a) J. K. Matsui, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8,

3512–3522. (b) D. N. Primer, I. Karakaya, J. C. Tellis, G. A. Molander, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2015**, *137*, 2195–2198. (c) J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer, G. A. Molander, *Science*, **2014**, *345*, 433–436.

- [29] Selected references of oxalates in photoredox catalysis: (a) X. Zhang,
 D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 13862–13865. (b)
 C. C. Nawrat, C. R. Jamison, Y. Slutskyy, D. W. C. MacMillan, L. E. Overman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, *137*, 11270–11273.
- [30] The lower yields found for 4d-4g account for unreacted starting material, reduced product upon HCl quench and dimerization.
- [31] Unfortunately, the use of unactivated α-olefins such as 1-octene or 4phenyl-1-butene as coupling partners resulted in recovered starting material as well as reduced trifluoromethylated products.
- [32] At present, we believe that the reaction is likely driven by the rapid addition of CF_3 radical across the styrene and the formation of two strong C–C sigma bonds.
- [33] A. J. Musacchio, L. Q. Nguyen, G. H Beard, R. R. Knowles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 12217-12220.
- [34] Our results suggest that the addition of CF₃ radicals to styrenes is considerably faster than a possible reduction to CF₃ anion by the reduced Ir(II) photocatalyst. Notably, traces of benzylic dimerization were observed, indicating a lower concentration of benzyl radicals in solution under CO₂ atmospheres.
- [35] For selected photoredox decarboxylation techniques: (a) L. Candish, M. Teders, F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 7440-7443. (b) C. P. Johnston, R. T. Smith, S. Allmendinger, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature* 2016, *536*, 322-325. (c) Z. Zuo, D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, *136*, 5257-5260. (d) Z. Zuo, D. T. Ahneman, L. Chu, J. A. Terrett, A. G. Doyle, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science* 2014, *345*, 437-440. The carboxylation of 1a was not inhibited in the presence of 3a-Na.



A catalytic, redox-neutral dicarbofunctionalization of readily available styrenes with CO_2 at atmospheric pressure has been developed. This mild protocol unlocks a previously inaccessible scenario that enables dicarbofunctionalization reactions of π -components with CO_2 and radical precursors without stoichiometric reductants, thus offering a complementary reactivity mode to existing carboxylation events.

Veera Reddy Yatham, Yangyang Shen and Ruben Martin*

Page No. – Page No. Title

Catalytic intermolecular

dicarbofunctionalization of styrenes

with CO₂ and radical precursors